IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN RE BARD IVC FILTERS
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

This Document Relates to: 2:16-cv-01464-Robert Eason v. Bard, et al.

No. 2:15-MD-02641-DGC

AMENDED SECOND AMENDED MASTER SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff(s) named below, for their Complaint against Defendants named below, incorporate the Master Complaint for Damages in MDL 2641 by reference (Doc. 364). Plaintiff(s) further show the Court as follows:

1.	Plaintiff/Deceased Party:
	Robert Eason
2.	Spousal Plaintiff/Deceased Party's spouse or other party making loss of
	consortium claim:
	N/A Peggy Eason
3.	Other Plaintiff and capacity (i.e., administrator, executor, guardian,
	conservator):
	N/A
4.	Plaintiff's/Deceased Party's state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence
	at the time of implant:
	Georgia

Plaintiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence: Pennsylvania District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing: Georgia United States District Court for the Southern District of Georgia Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): X C.R. Bard Inc. X Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship Other: Other: a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast Complaint:	at th	ne time of injury:				
	Georgia					
District Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent direct filing:	Plai	ntiff's current state(s) [if more than one Plaintiff] of residence:				
		Pennsylvania				
Southern District of Georgia Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): X C.R. Bard Inc. X Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship □ Other: □ Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast	Dist	trict Court and Division in which venue would be proper absent				
Southern District of Georgia Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): X C.R. Bard Inc. X Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship □ Other:	dire	ct filing:				
Defendants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made): X C.R. Bard Inc. X Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship Other: Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast		Georgia United States District Court for the				
 X C.R. Bard Inc. X Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship Other:	Sou	thern District of Georgia				
 X Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship □ Other:	Def	endants (check Defendants against whom Complaint is made):				
Basis of Jurisdiction: X Diversity of Citizenship Other: Other: Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast	X	C.R. Bard Inc.				
X Diversity of Citizenship Other: Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast	X	Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc.				
Other: a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast	Bas	Basis of Jurisdiction:				
a. Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Mast	X	Diversity of Citizenship				
		Other:				
Complaint:	a.	Other allegations of jurisdiction and venue not expressed in Master				
=		Complaint:				

10. Defendants' Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s) about which Plaintiff(s) is making a claim (Check applicable Inferior Vena Cava Filter(s)):

	Recovery® V	Telia Cava Filler		
	G2 [®] Vena C	ava Filter		
	G2® Express Vena Cava Filter			
	G2® X Vena Cava Filter			
	Eclipse® Ven	a Cava Filter		
X	Meridian [®] Vena Cava Filter			
	Denali [®] Vena	a Cava Filter		
	Other:			
Date	of Implantatio	n as to each product:		
	1/27/13			
Counts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):				
X	Count I:	Strict Draducta Lighility Manufacturing Defect		
	0 0 0,110 1.	Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect		
X	Count II:	Strict Products Liability – Manufacturing Defect Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure		
X				
X X	Count II:			
	Count II: to Warn)	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure		
X	Count II: to Warn) Count III:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure Strict Products Liability – Design Defect		
X X	Count II: to Warn) Count III: Count IV:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Negligence - Design		
X X X	Count II: to Warn) Count III: Count IV: Count V:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Negligence - Design Negligence - Manufacture		
X X X	Count II: to Warn) Count III: Count IV: Count V: Count VI: Count VII:	Strict Products Liability – Information Defect (Failure Strict Products Liability – Design Defect Negligence - Design Negligence - Manufacture Negligence – Failure to Recall/Retrofit		

	X Count X: Breach of Express Warranty					
	X	Count XI:	Breach of Implied Warranty			
	X	Count XII:	Fraudulent Misrepresentation			
	X	Count XIII:	Fraudulent Concealment			
	X	Count XIV:	Violations of Applicable Georgia and Pennsylvania			
		Law Prohibit	ing Consumer Fraud and Unfair and Deceptive Trade			
		Practices				
		Count XV:	Loss of Consortium			
		Count XVI:	Wrongful Death			
		Count XVII:	Survival			
	X	Punitive Dan	nages			
		Other(s):	(please state the facts			
		supporting th	is Count in the space immediately below)			
13.	3. Jury Trial demanded for all issues so triable?					
	X	Yes				
		No				
RESI	PECTFU	ULLY SUBM	ITTED this 15th day of July, 2016.			
BABBITT & JOHNSON, P.A.						
By: /s/ Joseph R. Johnson Joseph R. Johnson (Fla. Bar No. 372250) Suite 100						

1641 Worthington Road West Palm Beach, FL 33409